

YOU

CAN

NOT

BITTE



Kiss the Hand You Cannot Bite

Pio Abad

I have thought since about this lunch a great deal. The wine was chilled and poured into crystal glasses. The fish was served on porcelain plates that bore the American eagle. The sheepdog and the crystal and the American eagle together had on me a certain anesthetic effect, temporarily deadening that receptivity to the sinister that afflicts everyone in Salvador, and I experienced for a moment the official American delusion, the illusion of plausibility, the sense that the American undertaking in El Salvador might turn out to be, from the right angle, in the right light, just another difficult but possible mission in another troubled but possible country.

—Joan Didion¹

SEACLIFF

At my mother's wake two years ago, I found out that she was adept at assembling a rifle. I have always been aware of her radical past but there are certain details that have only surfaced recently. The intricacies of past struggles had always surrendered to the urgencies of present ones.

My parents were both working as labor organizers when they met in the mid-70s. Armed with Saul Alinsky's *Rules for Radicals*

1. Joan Didion, *Salvador* (Vintage: 1994), 112, pp. 87-88.

(1971) and Paulo Freire's *Pedagogy of the Oppressed* (1968), they would head to the fishing communities on the outskirts of Manila to assist fishermen, address their livelihood issues and educate them on the political climate of the country. It was this solidarity work and their eventual involvement in the democratic socialist movement that placed them within the crosshairs of Ferdinand Marcos' military.

In April 1978, Marcos held elections to fill a new legislative body called Interim Batasang Pambansa. The election was called in response to the Carter administration's severe and critical stance towards the Marcos dictatorship's human rights records and U.S. threats to withhold further financial assistance—a performance of democracy for the international community. As expected, the elections were marred by widespread cheating and violence. Marcos' candidates proclaimed complete victory. My father was imprisoned for the first time, along with scores of other activists, for taking part in protests. Up until that moment, the democratic socialists advocated for elections or civil disobedience to topple the dictatorship, seeing themselves as a third force in Philippine politics between Marcos' military and the Marxist National Democrats. The fraudulent elections and the unrelenting oppressive tactics of the regime convinced many of the group to abandon any peaceful way of confronting Marcos, leaving them with only one option: armed insurrection.

In the summer of 1979, a small group of urban guerrillas, calling themselves the Light-A-Fire Movement, set off a number of crude incendiary devices, burning several government buildings, hotels, the crony-owned Rustan's department store and a luxurious floating casino owned by Imelda's brother. The movement was broken up a few months later when many of its members were arrested, including its leader, a Harvard educated business executive named Eduardo Olaguer.

From June to October 1980, a series of sixty coordinated bombings rocked Manila, exploding inside banks, government offices, high-rise buildings, and pro-Marcos newspapers. The most dramatic operation took place on the 19th of October at the grand opening of the

American Society of Travel Agents Conference. A bomb exploded a few feet from where Ferdinand Marcos had just finished delivering his welcome remarks, causing chaos to some 4,000 delegates and the cancellation of the conference.

A new group calling themselves the April 6 Liberation Movement (A6LM) took responsibility for the bombings. Their name was a reference to the 1978 noise barrage demonstration in Manila where tens of thousands of urban Filipinos honked car horns, banged pots and pans, pealed church bells and blew whistles in protest of the Marcos regime—the first manifestation of the People Power that would eventually overthrow the dictatorship eight years later.

Marcos was initially quick to dismiss A6LM as a fringe group of young radicals living in a world of fantasy, but the success of their operations presented an organized group with a strategic plan to overthrow his regime and significant support from the moderate opposition. It would transpire later on that members of A6LM had undergone training in the Arizona desert on the use of explosives and techniques of guerrilla warfare.² My parents were initially to be part of the group traveling to Arizona but soon decided that violent adventurism of this type wasn't their solution. My mother never used that rifle. Nonetheless, they were implicated in the bombings and were forced into hiding for months. Eventually, they were found and charged with conspiring to assassinate the President of the Philippines.

From the Arizona desert, the setting of this narrative shifts to an even more unlikely location. At midnight on December 17, 1981, twenty FBI agents, San Francisco police and local sheriff's deputies raided a Spanish style house in affluent Sealcliff, California. The property was the home of Steve Psinakis, a 50-year-old Greek American engineer and his wife, Presy Lopez, a Filipina whose wealthy family had been driven out of the Philippines by the Marcoses. The raid was part of a crackdown instigated by the newly-elected president Ronald Reagan. Psinakis was one of the officials of the Movement for a Free Philippines (MFP), an organization of exiles and sympathizers dedicated to the

2.
The idea of revolutionaries coming to train in the very country coddling their enemy is ironic but not unusual. The Sandinistas National Liberation Front of Nicaragua were said to have purchased weapons in pawn shops along San Francisco's Mission Street and run five times a day on the Bernal Heights ring road in preparation for battle.

overthrow of the Marcos dictatorship and a return to democracy. It was alleged that Psinakis was the mastermind behind the training operation in Arizona and that the Seacliff home was a bomb factory for A6LM. An informant working for a garbage company in San Francisco claimed that he had found six hundred feet of detonating cord stripped of its explosive core that morning. Psinakis insisted that the evidence was planted, as FBI agents went through his files, slit open garbage bags, unwrapped Christmas presents, and had two police dogs sniff through the whole house for explosives. Nothing was found.

Psinakis remained evasive about his direct involvement with A6LM, although he was explicit about his belief in the need for armed conflict as the way to end the dictatorship. He implored each MFP member to donate US\$ 330 as one unit of material support for the Philippine guerrillas—the cost of one Armalite rifle. The combined forces of Ronald Reagan and Ferdinand Marcos were never able to catch Steve Psinakis. Even an attempt to indict him for transporting incendiary devices across state lines in 1989, long after the dictatorship had fallen, proved fruitless.

Other activists were less fortunate.

Silme Domingo and Gene Viernes were members of the Union of Democratic Filipinos (KDP), a revolutionary organization that was founded in the San Francisco Bay Area in 1973 to advocate for civil rights in the United States and national liberation in the Philippines. Silme and Gene met as workers in the Alaskan canneries, packing and cutting fish on an assembly line just like their fathers, grandfathers and many other Filipino immigrants before them. It was a shared advocacy to reform the International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union (ILWU), the union of cannery workers on the U.S. West Coast, Hawaii, Alaska, and in British Columbia in Canada, that brought them together. The union leadership had long been in collusion with the industry and had been infiltrated by gangster elements. It consistently failed to address workers' grievances, shaved off the earnings of Filipino workers and profited from gambling operations in the canneries. The president was a certain Tony Baruso, an

outspoken champion of the Marcos regime, who continuously blocked efforts for rank and file reform. Silme and Gene were planning to challenge Baruso for the presidency of ILWU. At the same time, they were gathering United States labor support for the worker's movement in the Philippines against the Marcos dictatorship.

On June 1, 1981, Silme Domingo and Gene Viernes were shot in broad daylight in a gangland style operation at the ILWU office in Seattle. Gene died immediately, a bullet piercing his heart. Silme was shot five times in the stomach but managed to call out for help. He died in hospital the next day having identified the hitmen as members of a gang that ran the gambling at the canneries. A week later, police found the murder weapon—a gun that was registered to Tony Baruso. Both Silme and Gene were twenty-nine years old.

The KDP initiated the Committee for Justice for Domingo and Viernes and, for over ten years, their quest to prosecute the perpetrators was constantly frustrated by the efforts of Philippine and American intelligence to suppress their own roles in the assassinations. It would eventually come to light that the U.S. government not only knew that the Marcos dictatorship was monitoring opposition in the U.S. but was also abetting the surveillance. United States agents conveyed incorrect intelligence to Philippine officials that Gene had been carrying US\$ 300,000 with him, intended for the opposition, on a trip to the Philippines a month before he was shot. Baruso also made three phone calls to the U.S. State Department within twenty-four hours of the murders.

It wasn't until after the fall of the dictatorship that further evidence of complicity would come to light. Prosecutors came across a statement of expenses from Mabuhay Corporation, a company set up and managed by Dr. Leonilo Malabed, a Marcos crony in San Francisco. It showed how the Marcos regime illegally spent almost US\$ 1 million dollars in the United States between 1979 and 1981 on various activities, including political campaign donations to both parties and an attempt to purchase a popular San Francisco Bay Area radio station. A small entry for fifteen thousand dollars was filed under 'special security projects' on May 17, 1981, two

weeks before Silme and Gene's murder. May 17 was the same weekend that Tony Baruso made a quick trip to San Francisco. The same amount would appear in his bank account shortly after the killings.

In November 1989, the Domingo and Viernes families filed a U.S. federal civil lawsuit against the Marcos family. At the end of the month-long trial, the judge and jury awarded US\$ 23.3 million to the family, ruling that the Marcos regime committed numerous acts of harassment, intimidation, and violence against the opposition and that Silme and Gene posed a substantial threat to the dictatorship because they were rising leaders and effective members of the opposition. Tony Baruso would later be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole.

In both of these trials, no mention was made of the role played by the U.S. State Department or the intelligence community.



SIMI VALLEY

The Ronald Reagan Presidential Library and Museum is perched on a mountaintop in Simi Valley, California, with sweeping views of the surrounding mountains, valleys, and the Pacific Ocean. The 100-acre site is the epitome of American fantasy, a shining city on a hill enveloped by a landscape so rugged and redolent of the iconography of the Wild West that one almost expects Ronald Reagan to appear astride a horse, which he inevitably does, in bronze form.

The museum charts the rise of Reagan, from the Hollywood studios to the White House, through displays that alternate between cloying sentimentality and violence. Photographs of Ronald and Nancy looking longingly at each other during his inauguration are followed by a three-channel video showing John Hinckley Jr.'s attempt at Reagan's life played on a loop. A parade of Margaret Thatcher's dresses, in a section called *The Friendship That Changed The World*, culminates with a decommissioned Cold War missile. After alighting Air Force One, where the last object on display is an impeccably preserved maraschino cherry and chocolate cake (Reagan always had one available in case members of the press were celebrating their birthdays) you are ushered to the *Threat Theater*, a visual catalog of the horrors of the Soviet Union justifying U.S. attacks on that "evil empire," and to the *Crisis Corridor*, which features large portraits of America's enemies, from Khomeini of Iran, Gadaffi of Libya and Ortega of Nicaragua.

It is in the section entitled *Peace Through Strength* where the museum's presentation of Reagan's political legacy bears utmost scrutiny. A single panel is devoted to the Iran-Contra scandal, a major controversy where U.S. administration officials facilitated the clandestine sale of arms to Iran, despite Iran being subject to an arms embargo, with the proceeds intended to fund the Contras in Nicaragua, and Congress prohibiting such support. A video of Reagan's speech in March 1987 accepting full responsibility for the Iran-Contra affair is placed alongside a statement claiming that Reagan was not aware of the extent of such agreements.

Adjacent to this panel, in a section devoted to Reagan's diplomatic successes in Southeast Asia, is a small photograph of Corazon Aquino. This photo is accompanied by a short description stating that, after the revolution of 1986, the Reagan administration immediately recognized Aquino as the president of the Philippines, granting refuge to Ferdinand Marcos in order to avoid civil war—a summary that ignores the complications of history, placing Reagan's legacy above the truth.

It took two months for Reagan to personally congratulate Aquino for assuming the presidency. A few days after this exchange, he stopped by Honolulu on his way to Asia and contemplated visiting Ferdinand and Imelda, who was now in luxurious exile at a crony's Makiki Heights estate, having been welcomed a few months earlier with leis and a red carpet. Though dissuaded from visiting by his aides, Reagan insisted on telephoning Marcos, who still proclaimed himself president and bemoaned his maligned fate. Imelda, seeking to prove that the Marcos and Reagan special relationship was still intact, secretly recorded this conversation and arranged for a Honolulu television station to broadcast segments of it.

Aquino had strong reason to believe that Reagan secretly yearned for his old friend's restoration to power. It was speculated at the time that Reagan disdained Aquino for refusing to allow Marcos to stay in the Philippines after his ouster. This mutual unease was made even more apparent when, on her first trip to Washington in late 1986, Aquino was denied the full honor of a state visit—a privilege Reagan had accorded Marcos a mere four years earlier, despite the mounting evidence of brutality and corruption in his regime. At a state dinner on this visit, Reagan's affection for the dictator and his wife were made evident by his toast. After greeting Irene, the youngest Marcos daughter, a happy birthday, he reminisced about first meeting his "old and good friends" on his trip to Manila with Nancy in 1969, where they had both been treated like royalty. Then the governor of California, Reagan had been sent by President Richard Nixon to represent the United States at the opening of Imelda's pet project, the Cultural Center

of the Philippines. Reagan ended his toast with a commitment to friendship:

President Marcos, we have accomplished a great deal together over the years. We will all do more in the years to come. Common determination to achieve a better life does not mean we need to be alike—we only need to treat each other in a spirit of generosity and mutual respect. If we do that our continuing commitment to one another, resting as it does on many years of close relations, will be solid now and for the future.

When the Marcoses were finally indicted for fraud and racketeering charges by the U.S. Federal Court in 1988, Ferdinand wrote to Ronald Reagan stressing this friendship. He pleaded with him to intervene in the proceedings, insisting that Reagan had the right not to prosecute present or former heads of state. Ferdinand signed the letter off with: “I remain your obedient servant.”

Less than a year later, Ferdinand would succumb to lupus in a Honolulu hospital. He would never stand trial. Imelda would later be acquitted on all counts by a Manhattan Court and return triumphantly to the Philippines.



WOODSIDE

October 10, 1988

Dear Robin,³

I am writing to set out for you the results of the search executed at the home of Irene and Gregorio Araneta,⁴ the daughter and son-in-law of Ferdinand and Imelda Marcos, as this search implicates the prosecution in the District. The results of this search provide further evidence that the Marcoses have continued to commit crimes and to conceal the fruits of their racketeering enterprises since they arrived in the United States. The search further emphasizes the urgent need to move forward with this prosecution.

The search of the Araneta's home began at about 7:40 pm on Thursday, October 6, 1988. The case agent from the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) who has worked on this District's

3.
Robin Ross,
Executive
Assistant to
U.S. Attorney
General Dick
Thornburgh.

4.
The house is at
915 Mountain
Home Road
in Woodside
at San Mateo
County. It is
still owned by
Marcos and
Araneta.

case almost from its inception was accompanied by Internal Revenue Service, FBI and Customs agents, who were conducting independent investigations. Based upon information provided by a confidential source, the FBI agent was searching for items from the Samuels Collection. As you recall, the Samuels Collection⁵ is a US\$ 6 million collection of fine art and antiques that were purchased by Imelda Marcos in 1981, through a nominee. The monies used by Mrs. Marcos to purchase the collection were stolen from the Philippine government. The purchase and transfer of this collection is charged in the proposed indictment as an interstate and foreign transportation of stolen property.⁶

The search was executed a day ahead of schedule after news of the warrant was apparently leaked to the press. In fact, television crews were already on the scene when the agents arrived, and it was the consensus of the FBI agents that some valuable evidence had been lost before the search began.

Nevertheless, we recovered 113 items from the Samuels Collection. Some of the chairs, tables, artworks, and figurines were displayed in the house, but most of the items were stored under sheets in a carriage house, stacked in a guest house garage and boxed in the residence. Many pieces were damaged, but the agents did recover one Chinese screen, about nine feet in size, which was in near perfect condition. The 1981 value of the property recovered was approximately US\$ 324,000.

The ongoing concealment of the stolen property—much of which was hurriedly packed away and transported from a Philippine government townhouse in New York on the eve of Marcos' departure from the Philippines—is part of the continuing efforts of the Marcos enterprise to conceal the Marcos wealth and to prevent its forfeiture. The Marcoses' children are now implicated in this ongoing scheme.

I am enclosing the latest draft of the proposed indictment. As I told you last week, we intend to present an indictment to the Grand Jury tomorrow that charges the Marcoses' co-racketeers with the crimes contained in this indictment. We will be attempting to arrest these

5. The art and antiques collection of Leslie R. Samuels, a New York City philanthropist who made his fortune in retail outlets in the Midwest, and his late wife Fan Fox Samuels, heiress to a mercantile fortune, was to have been auctioned off by Sotheby's in October 1981 and was expected to raise over \$US 5 million. The sale was abruptly cancelled in September when Imelda Marcos purchased the entire collection of rare 17th and 18th century English paintings, furniture, and pottery. Mrs. Marcos also offered US\$ 9 million to buy the Samuels' Upper Park Avenue triplex apartment, but was turned down by the co-op board members after anti-Marcos activists threatened to picket the building.

co-racketeers abroad over the next several days. As we agreed, we cannot delay going forward with these charges now, though we are hopeful that the proposed Marcos indictment will supersede this interim indictment by October 18, 1988, at the latest.

Substantial evidence exists to prove that Ferdinand and Imelda Marcos obtained hundreds of millions of dollars through embezzlement and fraud. They used the United States as their “safe haven” for this ill-gotten wealth and, in the process, perpetuated frauds on United States bank and regulatory agencies to secure that wealth and to acquire over US\$ 166 million in additional monies. Since their arrival here, the Marcoses have not abandoned their crimes, but have continued to flaunt the judicial system and, indeed, the Grand Jury.

Although there are some who are reluctant to prosecute Marcos because of his prior position, it should be clear (and the fruits of this search make it clearer) that to fail to prosecute would give Marcos a protection against application of United States law enjoyed by no other person in our country, including the President of the United States. If we fail to prosecute Marcos we would be giving him carte blanche to continue to violate the law. Despite the reluctance to prosecute an alleged “former ally,” even a “former ally” cannot be permitted to continue ongoing crimes.

Let me summarize the reasons that prosecution of Marcos is required. The indictment is based on crimes that continued after Marcos came to the United States. The fraud is arguably the largest ever and it continues. All of the others included in this indictment will be and must be prosecuted. The forfeiture will be of direct benefit to the Philippine government.

If you need any assistance in expanding upon or clarifying any of these arguments, I am ready to be of help.

Respectfully yours,



RUDOLPH W. GIULIANI

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

6.

A portion of the Samuels Collection was also seized in France from co-racketeer and Saudi arms dealer Adnan Khashoggi, who was also implicated in the Iran-Contra affair. Following this seizure, Khashoggi stated under oath that he purchased the seized items from Imelda Marcos.

Imelda

Bob Colacello

Andy was always in a rush to pin down a potential portrait, but he was particularly anxious about this one. It could be the big break in his campaign to become the official portraitist to the leaders of the world. And, unlike President Ford, or any other leader of a democratic nation, Imelda Marcos really could order up scores of her silk-screened likeness, for every cabinet member's office, governor's mansion, and ambassador's residence, fulfilling one of Andy's fondest fantasies: the single commission that miraculously multiples ad infinitum. And then, wouldn't President Marcos want *his* portrait too, to hang side by side with the First Lady's in every post office, train station, and national-bank branch in the land? And once the Marcoses set the trend for official portraits by Andy Warhol—so flattering, so easily reproduced—wouldn't the Pahlavis and the Saudis, Hassan and Hussein, the King and Queen of Thailand, all follow? And how about Imelda's new best friend, Mrs. Mao Tse-tung? Why, Andy had her husband's portraits in every size and color, just sitting there at the Factory, waiting to be shipped to China the minute the check came in the diplomatic pouch.

The one thing that worried Andy about hanging out with this group, aside from losing his limousine-liberal clients, was their tendency to attract assassins. Mrs. Marcos, for example, had already been stabbed in the arm by one of her Filipino subjects.

Andy didn't want to be there, popping Polaroids, when the bullets sprayed. But then, what if the Marcoses were killed or toppled *before* getting Andy started on this imagined merry-go-round of monarchs and potentates commissioning portraits by the dozens, or hundreds, or thousands? There *was* a Communist insurgency in the Philippines and martial law had been declared in 1972. So we had to hurry and pop the question right away, and just hope that there weren't any crazed revolutionaries with machine guns lurking in the lobby of the Carlyle. As Jerry Zipkin had advised Andy a few nights before, "Never get in an elevator with Imelda. And if you have to, always let her get our first."

When we got off the elevator on the 34th floor of the Carlyle Hotel, we were confronted by a U.S. Secret Service post, set up between Imelda's suite, 34b—the one where President Kennedy had always stayed, noted Fred—and suite 34a, which was owned by Henry Ford II, whose second wife, an Italian jet setter named Christina, was one of Imelda's most intimate friends. The Secret Service men checked our passports and then announced us—by walkie-talkie—to their Filipino counterparts, who were standing a few feet away. "Gee," whispered Andy, "this is glamorous. And scary. I better not tape, right?"

The Filipinos checked our passports again and opened the door to Imelda's suite, where a video crew was waiting, with its bright lights on, to record our entrance. "They've got us on film now," whispered Andy. "We're linked with her for good so we better get her portrait, Bob." Still being videotaped, we moved into the center of the sitting room and admired the view of Manhattan, Queens, *and* New Jersey, while noting the names on the cards attached to the flower arrangements set up on pedestals: Jerry and Betty Ford, Nelson and Happy Rockefeller, Henry and Nancy Kissinger... When the camera started taking Polaroids of us Andy really got worried: "They're putting us on a file, Bob. And when the revolution comes, the Communists will find our pictures. This is all your fault, Bob."

Then Mrs. Marcos swept in the form of the bedroom, tall, dark, and handsome, with her soft half-Oriental features and hard jet-black pompadour, a kind of cross between the middle-aged

Merle Oberon and the juvenile Elvis Presley. She was wearing a simple black dress, set off by a big Bulgari diamond pin, which immediately caught Andy's eye. She waved away the camera crew imperiously, telling them, "*Please*. Let us get to know each other first." She turned to Andy and explained, "It's the Filipino TV. They are making a documentary of my trip to America and Mexico." "What can I do?" she went on in a voice that was simultaneously very feminine and very strong. "They follow me everywhere I go with their cameras and their lights because the Filipino people can't get enough of me. They want to know everything I do, everyone I see... I am their star. Their star and their slave."

"Oh, gee," said Andy. "We brought you our magazine."

"But you won't write in it that I am extravagant," said Imelda, "will you? I don't know why the American press always writes that I'm extravagant. Do I look extravagant?" She had conveniently covered her diamond pin, all twenty karats of it, with the copy of *Interview*.

"Oh, no," said Andy, stuck now that he couldn't talk about the one thing they had in common: jewelry. After a long dead pause, he added, "Gee, isn't Franco Rossellini great?"

Imelda agreed that he was, but she wasn't in the mood for gossip. Instead, she launched into a long speech about art, full of platitudes and clichés (but no suggestion that she was aware that Andy painted portraits), finally concluding that artists brought people together, just as she was trying to do in her travels, to bring nations together and join the East and the West. Every time she said East she turned her head one way and every time she said West she turned the other East, West, East, West, and then we turned ours with hers, until we were dizzy, and stifling yawns. The Philippines, she said, was ideally positioned to play the go-between for the East and the West, because it was neither one nor the other, but both." She often used "I" when she meant the Philippines, and "the Philippines" when she meant I.

This text is an excerpt of "Chapter 27: Imelda" from the book *Holy Terror: Andy Warhol Close Up*, published by Harper Collins in 1990.

Kiss the Hand You Cannot Bite

Pio Abad

June 5—August 10, 2019

KADIST, SAN FRANCISCO

3295 20th Street, CA 94110

Wed-Sat, 12—5pm, or by appointment

kadist.org

Asia Programs & Communications / SHONA MEI FINDLAY

Exhibitions & Video Library / AMANDA NUDELMAN

Collections / FERNANDA PARTIDA OCHOA, IVAN

MUÑIZ REED

Events / JORDAN STEIN

Director / MARIE MARTRAIRE

Gallery / JACOB CRUZ-RINE

Interns / CORINNE LADNER, THEODORE LAU

Media Production / MIK GASPAY

Print Design / JUSTIN CARDER

Production / JOE MELAMED

KADIST and the artist would like to thank BUTCH AND DINA ABAD, CATHERINE CHOY, DAN GONZALES, SEAN HOWE, LAUREN HAISCH, LORI HINES, CLAY JENSEN, KIM KOMENICH, LEE WENG CHOY, LIAN LADIA, JEROME REYES, OWEN TAKABAYASHI, MARIAN PASTOR ROCES, JENNIFER TORRES, SILVERLENS GALLERY, SMALL WORKS, EMMA SPERTUS, MARY VALLEDOR, FRANCES WADSWORTH JONES.

IMAGE CAPTIONS (IN ORDER OF APPEARANCE): Imelda Marcos dancing with Ronald Reagan at the 1969 opening of the Cultural Center of the Philippines, Manila, Getty Images. Imelda's gifts to Ronald and Nancy Reagan, Ronald Reagan Presidential Library, photographs by Pio Abad.



*Download the
KADIST mobile
app for free!*

EXHIBITION CHECKLIST

(A)

Untitled (Bolerium), 2019
407 posters, each 11 x 17 inches

(B)

Kiss the Hand You Cannot Bite, 2019
Concrete sculpture, dimensions
variable. Produced in
collaboration with Frances
Wadsworth Jones

(C)

Kim Komenich, *Hand and
Barbed Wire*, February 25, 1986
Inkjet print on Hahnemühle
Baryta paper, 10 x 15 inches

(D)

A Thoughtful Gift, 2019
Etching on Carrara marble,
10 x 13 x 0.75 inches

(E)

For Silme, 2019
Acrylic on canvas,
12 x 16 inches

(F)

For Gene, 2019
Acrylic on canvas,
12 x 16 inches

(G)

For Dina, 2019
Acrylic on canvas,
12 x 16 inches



